



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/420,457		10/18/1999	TAKESHI YAMAMOTO	32032 2834	
116	7590	07/11/2003			
PEARNE &			EXAMINER		
526 SUPERI SUITE 1200			CLINTON, GREGORY L		
CLEVELAND, OH: 44114-1484			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2154	8
				DATE MAILED: 07/11/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				pre,
	—	Application N .	Applicant(s)	
,	Advisory Action	09/420,457 YAMAMOTO, TAKESHI		SHI
•¥	•	Examin r	Art Unit	
		Gregory L. Clinton	2154	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover shet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
There final i condi	REPLY FILED 30 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH efore, further action by the applicant is required to a ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 tion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application and indication of the contraction of the contract which are the contraction of the contract	ation. A proper reply	y to a
	PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) b) E: fee ha		Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	g date of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approx	on. See MPEP
tee und (2) as :	der 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	the shortened statutory period for reply one can be then three months after the mail	originally set in the final (Office action: or
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	Brief must be filed within the pe	riod set forth in f the appeal.	
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a	a) $oxtimes$ they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(0	 they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or 	n better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or sin	nplifying the
(0	l) they present additional claims without cancelli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	3.
	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed a	amendment
5.	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consid	dered but does NOT	place the
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were	newly
7.⊠	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belov	will be entered a w or appended.	nd an
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
	Claim(s) allowed:			
	Claim(s) objected to:			
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9,11-24 and 26-30</u> .			
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.	The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a) approved or b) disappr	oved by the Examir	ner.
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen			,
	Other: See Continuation Sheet	2	Zamillan	,
		PF	ZARNI MAŬNG RIMARY EXAMINER	3
0-11	and Trademore Office			



Continuation of 2. NOTE: THe limitations of "thinning the image information", "screen size", and "color depth" require additional search and/or consideration..

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicant appears to be using the new format for submitting amendments. However, proper submissions under the new format require a listing of status for all claims, including previously cancelled claims. Further, the text of previously cancelled claims should not be presented. In the instant amendment, claim 10 (cancelled by applicant in Paper No. 5) was erroneously presented in its entirety; claim 10's status was erroneously listed as 'original'; and claim 25 (also cancelled in Paper No. 5) was erroneously omitted.